UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/585,331	07/06/2006	Kanji Motegi	4633-0172PUS1	2705	
	7590 12/11/200 ART KOLASCH & BI	EXAMINER			
PO BOX 747	OH 374 22040 0747	CHIESA, RICHARD L			
FALLS CHURG	CH, VA 22040-0747	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
		1797			
		NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			12/11/2009	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

		Applicatio	Application No. Applicant(s)						
Office Action Commence		10/585,33	1	MOTEGI ET AL.					
Office Action Summary			Examiner		Art Unit				
			Richard L.		1797				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
Status									
1)⊠ Ra	sponsive to communication(s) file	ad on 22 Oc	toher 2000	1					
· <u> </u>	, , ,	2b)⊠ This a							
′=		<i>,</i> —			peecution as to the	morite ie			
•	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •								
CIO	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition	of Claims								
4)⊠ Cla	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are pending in the application.								
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-6 and 9</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
·	☑ Claim(s) <u>——</u> is/are allowed. ☑ Claim(s) <u>7 and 13</u> is/are rejected.								
· <u> </u>	nim(s) <u>8 and 10-12</u> is/are objected	1 to							
· <u> </u>	· · · —		alastian ra	auiromont					
8)∐ Cla	nim(s) are subject to restric	dion and/or	election re	quirement.					
Application	Papers								
9) <u></u> The	specification is objected to by th	e Examiner							
10) ⊠ The	drawing(s) filed on <u>06 July 2006</u>	is/are: a)∑	accepted	or b) objected to l	by the Examiner.				
Apı	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
						FR 1.121(d).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119									
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). 									
Attachment(s) 1) \times Notice of 2) \times Notice of 3) \times Information	the attached detailed Office action References Cited (PTO-892) Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Fon Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) (s)/Mail Date		of the certifi	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	r (PTO-413) ate				

Application/Control Number: 10/585,331 Page 2

Art Unit: 1797

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. The amendment filed on October 22, 2009 has been entered.

Election/Restrictions

2. Applicants' election without traverse of species B (Figures 7, 8) in the reply filed on

October 22, 2009 is acknowledged.

3. Claims 1-6, 8, and 9 are therefore withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37

CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to nonelected species. Election was made without traverse in the

reply filed on October 22, 2009.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for

failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicants regard as

the invention. Claim 13 is indeterminate because it depends from a non-elected claim.

Apparently, the numeral "1" on the last line of claim 13 should be changed to --7--.

Application/Control Number: 10/585,331 Page 3

Art Unit: 1797

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are

such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the

manner in which the invention was made.

6. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the

claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various

claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any

evidence to the contrary. Applicants are advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point

out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a

later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35

U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

7. Claims 7 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the

admitted prior art described by applicants on page 1 of the specification in view of any one of

U.S. Patent Nos. 3,188,784 (Nodolf), 4,274,843 (Sone et al), or 4,376,637 (Yang). The admitted

prior art described by applicants on page 1 of the specification diescloses an air purifier with a

power source, streamer discharge electrode parts and counter electrode parts substantially as

claimed. It would appear that the admitted prior art may not explicitly disclose a resistor

between the power source and counter electrode parts. In any case, any one of Nodolf (note ref.

num. 76, Figures 1, 2, and col. 3, line 26 to col. 4, line 51), Sone et al (note ref. characters R₁, R₂,

Art Unit: 1797

Figure 3, and col. 3, line 19 to col. 4, line 63), or Yang (note ref. num. 58, 60, Figures 1-4, and

col. 5, line 1 to col. 6, line 68) teaches the well-known use of a resistor positioned between the

power source and counter electrodes in an electrostatic precipitator air purifier for the purpose of

reducing sparking and/or limiting current. Consequently, it would have been readily obvious to

one having ordinary skill in the art to employ a resistor between the power source and counter

electrode of the admitted prior art air purifier in order to facilitate spark reduction and/or current

limiting as taught by any one of Nodolf, Sone et al, or Yang.

Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claims 8, and 10-12 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but

would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base

claim and any intervening claims.

9. As allowable subject matter has been indicated, applicants' reply must either comply with

all formal requirements or specifically traverse each requirement not complied with. See 37

CFR 1.111(b) and MPEP § 707.07(a).

Conclusion

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicants'

disclosure. These references have been cited as art of interest to show other electrostatic

precipitator air purifiers.

Application/Control Number: 10/585,331 Page 5

Art Unit: 1797

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Richard L. Chiesa whose telephone number is (571) 272-1154.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Duane S. Smith, can be reached at (571) 272-1166.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Technology Center 1700 receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-1700.

Facsimile correspondence must be transmitted through (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Richard L. Chiesa December 7, 2009

/Richard L. Chiesa/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 1797